



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,481	02/10/2004	Wen Hsiang Yuch	MR3721-4	8938
4586	7590	01/25/2008		
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			EXAMINER VO, THANH DUC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2189	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/774,481

Applicant(s)

YUEH, WEN HSIANG

Examiner

Thanh D. Vo

Art Unit

2189

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 January 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 11-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 11-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on March 26, 2007. Claims 1, 11, and 12 have been amended. Claims 1-3, and 11-13 are presented for examination. Claims 1-3, and 11-13 are pending. All rejections and objections not repeated below are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shearer et al. (US 2003/0224726) in view of Ng et al. (US 2004/0254661).

As per claim 1, Shearer et al. teaches a device in a cassette tape adapted for wireless communication with a blue tooth equipped cellular phone and a blue tooth equipped data processing device remotely disposed therefrom and having an incoming call displaying function, said device comprises:

a memory control module (Fig. 1, item 130) coupled to at least one memory for storing a digital data (paragraph 0023, lines 1-2, wherein a memory control module is to

received a data signal; a memory is inherent in the system in order to store the received data to be processed);

a signal processing module (paragraph 0023, lines 2-4, transceiver) coupled to a speaker and said memory control module for processing said digital signal, said signal processing module including (a) a voice transmission and encoder/decoder unit used to encode/decode a voice and convert data between a digital format and an analog format for cellular communication (paragraph 0023, lines 9-19, wherein cellular communication is an intended use therefore it does not carry patentable weight);

a blue tooth module (paragraph 0021, lines 5-7) coupled to said memory control module through a first output and said signal processing module through a second output for (a) receiving a remote data signal from the cellular phone and replying with a modulation signal, the remote data signal being coupled to said signal processing module through said second output (paragraph 0021, lines 5-12);

a monitor coupled signal processing module for displaying broadcast information produced after processing incoming call information automatically responsive to receiving said remote data signal from the cellular phone (paragraph 0025, lines 7-11).

establishing duplex communication with the blue tooth equipped cellular phone and provide voice communication capability of the blue tooth equipped cellular phone responsive to user selection of the incoming call. See paragraph 0006, last sentence.

Shearer et al. further discloses that the invention further encompasses other blue tooth devices such as PDAs, MP3 player, pager, for which a received audio or text data signal will be forwarded to the signal processing device. See paragraph 0028.

Shearer et al. did not explicitly disclose an MP3 personal storage device adapted for wireless communication with a blue tooth equipped cellular phone having a microphone and an earphone comprises:

an MP3 decoder used to decode an MP3 digital file to a voice signal for output to the earphone;

receiving MP3 encoded data from the data processing device and coupling said received MP3 encoded data to said memory control module through said first output;

Ng et al. discloses an MP3 personal storage device (Fig. 4, item 40) adapted for wireless communication with a blue tooth equipped device (Fig. 4, item 30) having a microphone (Fig. 4, item 440) comprises:

an MP3 decoder (Fig. 4, item 420) used to decode an MP3 digital file to a voice signal for output to a speaker (Fig. 4, item 430);

receiving MP3 encoded data from the data processing device and coupling said received MP3 encoded data to said memory control module (See the processes in Fig. 3);

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the system of Shearer et al. to combine with the system of Ng et al. in order to arrive at the current invention. The motivation of doing so is to have an MP3 player that is capable of playing the MP3 digital music files and receiving a phone call utilizing a well-known and useful blue tooth technology to

wirelessly transmitting data through a short range distance from a cell phone so that the user can enjoy the simplicity while carrying a phone and a portable music player.

Shearer et al. and Ng et al. disclose a speaker rather than an earphone. However, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to replace the speaker as disclosed by Ng et al. as an earphone if it is desired. The motivation of doing so is to enhance the privacy while having a phone conversation.

As per claim 2, Ng et al. discloses an MP3 personal storage device as in claim 1, wherein said memory control module is a card reader, said memory is a memory card (Fig. 2, item 320) detachably inserted to said card reader for expanding content of said memory card. See paragraph 0027, lines 7-11, wherein a flash card requires a card reader in order to read the data off of the memory card.

As per claim 3, Ng et al. discloses an MP3 personal storage device as in claim 1, wherein said memory is a flash memory card. See Fig. 2, item 320.

As per claim 11, Ng et al. discloses an MP3 personal storage device as in claim 1, wherein said remote data signal includes first voice signals coupled to said earphone through said signal processing module, and said modulation signal includes second voice signals coupled from said microphone through said signal processing module.

See Fig. 4, item 430 and 440, and paragraph 0026, lines 5-12 and paragraph 0030, lines 1-6.

As per claim 13, Shearer et al. discloses a blue tooth module (Fig. 1, item 130, wherein a blue tooth module 130 has to be detachable because the conventional cassette tape was modified to include the blue tooth module 130). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the MP3 personal storage device of Ng et al. to include a detachable blue tooth module disclosed by Shearer et al. The motivation of doing so is to allow the system of Ng et al. to communicate wirelessly as shown in Fig. 2).

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shearer et al. (US 2003/0224726) in view of Ng et al. (US 2004/0254661) and further in view of Luman et al. (US Patent 6,981,259).

As per claim 12, although neither Shearer et al. and Ng et al. disclose an MP3 personal storage device as in claim 1, wherein said signal processing module interrupts coupling of said voice signal derived from said MP3 digital file to said earphone and outputs voice signals included in said remote data signal to said earphone responsive to a user being presented with said incoming call information and making a determination of whether to accept receipt of said remote data signal from the cellular phone, said signal processing module again outputting said voice signal derived from said MP3

digital file to said earphone responsive to termination of receipt of said remote data signal from the cellular phone.

However, Luman et al. discloses a method of interrupting the music with the audio portion of the telephone call on the MP3 player.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the system of Shearer et al. and Ng et al. to combine with the method of Luman et al. to arrive at the current invention. The motivation of doing so is to enable the user to listen to the phone call without interference of the music file being played in the MP3 player.

In addition, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to allow the user to determine whether to answer a phone call or not so that the user won't be interrupted by an unwanted phone call.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 26, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner has cited new paragraph from Shearer et al. in the rejection above to reject the amended claim. Therefore, all claims are currently rejected and unpatentable.

Conclusion


5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh D. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reginald G. Bragdon can be reached on (571) 272-4204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Thanh D. Vo
Patent Examiner
AU 2189
01/20/2008



REGINALD BRAGDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100